

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/412,404

Applicant(s)

KAPLAN, JOSHUA D.

Examiner

Mark Fadok

Art Unit

3625

## All Participants:

(1) Mark Fadok.

(2) Mr. Samuel Lee.

Status of Application: Non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005

Time: 11:00 AM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner left a message that the information provided 1/18/2005 (TD, IDS and specification amendment) could not be entered because there were insufficient funds in the account. The examiner requested that Mr. Lee contact him at his earliest convenience. The documents were not considered for the purposes of this office action..